arXiv:1507.04915v1 [math.GR] 17 Jul 2015

CONTINUOUS COHOMOLOGY OF THE ISOMETRY GROUP OF HYPERBOLIC SPACE REALIZABLE ON THE BOUNDARY

HESTER PIETERS

Abstract. We prove that the continuous cohomology of $\mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^n)$ can be calculated using the complex of measurable maps on the boundary of hyperbolic space. This implies in particular that for $\mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^n)$ the comparison map from continuous bounded cohomology to continuous cohomology is injective in degree 3. We furthermore prove a stability result for the continuous bounded cohomology of $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{C}})$.

1. Introduction

The volume function of an ideal simplex in hyperbolic 3-space is $3/2$ times the Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm of the cross ratio of its 4 vertices in $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$. In this context, the five-term relation for the dilogarithm is the cocycle condition for the volume function. Let $\mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ be the group of orientation preserving isometries of $\mathbb{H}^3$. In [2] Bloch proved that the space of measurable functions $(\partial\mathbb{H}^3)^4 \to \mathbb{R}$ that are $\mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^3)$-invariant and that satisfy the cocycle condition is one-dimensional and generated by the volume function. In fact, Bloch shows that the continuous cohomology group $H^3_c(\mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^3),\mathbb{R})$ (see [3], [13]) can also be calculated using the complex of measurable maps on the boundary. Then, since $H^3_c(\mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^3),\mathbb{R})$ is one dimensional, it indeed follows that up to scalar multiplication the volume function is the only $\mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^3)$-invariant measurable cocycle on the boundary. Our main result is that the continuous cohomology of $\mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^n)$ can be realized on the boundary for hyperbolic space of any dimension n.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 2$. The continuous cohomology of $G = Isom^+(\mathbb{H}^n)$ can be calculated using the complex of measurable maps on the boundary of hyperbolic space. That is,*

$$H^p_c(G,\mathbb{R}) \cong \frac{\ker(\delta : (C^p)^G \to (C^{p+1})^G)}{\mathrm{im}(\delta : (C^{p-1})^G \to (C^p)^G)},$$

where $C^p = C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1},\mathbb{R})$ is the G-module consisting of measurable maps $(\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ identifying those which agree almost everywhere, and δ is the standard homogeneous coboundary operator. Furthermore, all cocycles have essentially bounded representatives.

The main difficulty in the generalization from $n = 3$ to higher dimensions comes from the fact that for $n \geq 4$ the stabilizer of 3 points in $\partial \mathbb{H}^n$ is not trivial. This prevents a straightforward generalization of Bloch's proof for degree $p > 3$. It is not known if this result generalizes to all semi simple Lie groups G with Furstenberg boundary G/P. Examples indicate that this might be the case. In [11], [12] Goncharov defines measurable cocycles on the space of flags $\mathcal{F}l(\mathbb{C}^m)$ representing the Borel classes in $H_c^{2n-1}(\mathrm{Sl}_m(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R})$ for $n = 2, 3$ and $m \geq 2n - 1$ using the classical di- and trilogarithm. These cocycles are all bounded and therefore give further evidence for the conjecture ([9], [17]) that the comparison map between continuous bounded cohomology and continuous cohomology is an isomorphism for all semisimple connected Lie groups with finite center. This has so far only been established in a few cases. For degree 2 it was proven by Burger and Monod in [7]. In degree 3 and 4 it has been proven for $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ by Burger-Monod [8] and Hartnick-Ott [15] respectively. For $\mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^n)$, injectivity in degree 3 was so far only known for $n = 2$ by Burger-Monod [7] and for $n = 3$ by Bloch's result, i.e. our Theorem 1.1 here. An immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1 is

Corollary 1.2. *The comparison map from continuous bounded cohomology to continuous cohomology for real hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n$ is injective in degree 3, i.e.*

$$c : H_{c,b}^3(G, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow H_c^3(G, \mathbb{R}).$$

One tool for computing the continuous bounded cohomology of Lie groups can be stability results. In [18] Monod proves that the continuous bounded cohomology of SL_n is stable over n. More precisely, for any local field k and $0 \leq q \leq n - 1$ he shows that the standard embedding $\mathrm{Gl}_{n-1}(k) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sl}_n(k)$ induces an isomorphism $H_{c,b}^q(\mathrm{Sl}_n(k)) \cong H_{c,b}^q(\mathrm{Gl}_{n-1}(k))$. He proves this using nontrivial coefficients of L^∞ type and a spectral sequence argument. In this paper we prove such a stability result for the isometry group $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n_{(\mathbb{C})})$ of real (or complex) hyperbolic space using simpler methods.

Theorem 1.3. *If $k + 1 \leq n$ then there exists an injection*

$$H_{c,b}^k(\mathit{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n_{(\mathbb{C})}), \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow H_{c,b}^k(\mathit{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_{(\mathbb{C})}), \mathbb{R}).$$

About the proof of Theorem 1.1

We follow Bloch's approach by looking at the spectral sequences associated to the double complex $(C(G^{q+1}, C^p)^G, d, \delta)$ (with differentials d, δ to be defined below in section 3). For $p > 2$ we have ${}^I\mathrm{E}_1^{p,q} = H_m^*(\mathrm{SO}(n-2), C^{p-3})$, with $\mathrm{SO}(n-2)$ the stabilizer of 3 points in the boundary of hyperbolic space. In the case of $n = 3$ this group is trivial and thus ${}^I\mathrm{E}_1^{p,q}$ automatically vanishes for $q > 0$. Because of this, in Bloch's proof for $n = 3$ the fact that the spectral sequence degenerates at the second page already follows from looking at the first page. One would expect that $H_m^*(K, A)$ vanishes if K

is compact no matter what the coefficients A are. However, if the coefficients A are not locally convex there is no way to integrate over them so it is not possible to construct a coboundary in the usual way. Since $C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p-2},\mathbb{R})$ is a (non-locally convex) F-space it is not clear (how to prove) that $H^*_m(\mathrm{SO}(n-2),C^{p-3})$ vanishes for $n>3$. Here we will instead prove that if a cocycle $[\alpha]\in {}^I\mathrm{E}_1^{p,q}$ survives to the second page of the spectral sequence, i.e. if $\delta\alpha=d\lambda$, then it is cohomologeous in ${}^I\mathrm{E}_2^{p,q}$ to a coboundary in ${}^I\mathrm{E}_1^{p,q}$. As in the proof in [1] of the isomorphism between measurable and continuous cohomology in the case of Fréchet coefficients [1, Theorem A], we would like to show by dimension-shifting induction that each cocycle has a representative that is locally totally bounded. In fact, by a double induction argument, we will show that this is the case for a cocycle in ${}^I\mathrm{E}_2^{p,q}$. The first step of this dimension-shifting induction argument is Proposition 3.4 that implies in particular that all cocycles in $(C^p)^G$ have essentially bounded representatives, which is the second part of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by Swiss National Science Foundation grant number PP00P2-128309/1. The author would like to thank her doctoral advisor Michelle Bucher for many useful discussions and for carefully reading multiple drafts of this paper and Tobias Hartnick for his interest and helpful suggestions.

2. Preliminaries

Let G be a locally compact second countable group. A **Polish space** is a separable completely metrizable topological space, a **Polish group** is a topological group which is also a Polish space in its topology and a **Polish Abelian G-module** is a Polish Abelian group A with an action of G by continuous automorphisms and a translation invariant metric. It is a **F-space** if A is furthermore a separable real topological vector space in its Polish topology. A **Fréchet space** is a locally convex F-space. For any σ-finite measure space X and any separable metric space A let $C(X,A)$ be the set of measurable functions $X\to A$, identifying those which agree almost everywhere (a.e.). Any class of measurable functions contains a Borel function so we could also define $C(X,A)$ as consisting of Borel maps $X\to A$ and obtain the same space. We endow this space with the topology of convergence in measure. When $A=\mathbb{R}$ this gives the usual F-space structure on $C(G,\mathbb{R})$ and this space is often denoted by $L^0(G)$. There holds a Fubini theorem for these spaces, i.e. if X,Y are two σ-finite measure spaces then $C(X\times Y,A)\cong C(X,C(Y,A))\cong C(Y,C(X,A))$ [20, Theorem 1]. If A is a Polish Abelian G-module and X is a standard Borel space on which G acts as a Borel transformation group such that it leaves some finite measure μ quasi-invariant then $C(X,A)$ is again a Polish Abelian G-module with action given by

$$(g \cdot f)(x_1, \dots, x_p) := g \cdot (f(g^{-1}x_1, \dots, g^{-1}x_p)),$$

for $f \in C(X, A)$, $g \in G$ and $x_1, \dots, x_p \in X$ and where this and all further equalities are understood to hold a.e. [20, Proposition 12]. Denote by $C(X, A)^G$ the submodule consisting of G-invariant maps. For locally compact second countable groups G and Polish Abelian G-modules A in [19], [20] and [21] C.C. Moore developed the measurable cohomology theory $H_m^*(G, A)$. Let $d : C(G^{p+1}, A)^G \to C(G^{p+2}, A)^G$ be the standard homogeneous coboundary operator, i.e. for $\alpha \in C(G^{p+1}, A)^G$ and $g_0, \dots, g_{p+1} \in G$

$$d\alpha(g_0, \dots, g_{p+1}) := \sum_{i=0}^{p+1} (-1)^i \alpha(g_0, \dots, \hat{g}_i, \dots, g_{p+1}).$$

The **measurable cohomology groups for G with coefficients in A** are

$$H_m^p(G, A) := \frac{\ker(d : C(G^{p+1}, A)^G \to C(G^{p+2}, A)^G)}{\operatorname{im}(d : C(G^p, A)^G \to C(G^{p+1}, A)^G)}.$$

Analogously, $C_c(G^{p+1}, A)^G$ and $C_{c,b}(G^{p+1}, A)^G$ are defined to be the G-invariant cochains $G^{p+1} \to A$ that are respectively continuous and continuous bounded and we obtain the **continuous cohomology groups**

$$H_c^p(G, A) := \frac{\ker(d : C_c(G^{p+1}, A)^G \to C_c(G^{p+2}, A)^G)}{\operatorname{im}(d : C_c(G^p, A)^G \to C_c(G^{p+1}, A)^G)},$$

and the **continuous bounded cohomology groups**

$$H_{c,b}^p(G, A) := \frac{\ker(d : C_{c,b}(G^{p+1}, A)^G \to C_{c,b}(G^{p+2}, A)^G)}{\operatorname{im}(d : C_{c,b}(G^p, A)^G \to C_{c,b}(G^{p+1}, A)^G)},$$

where the appropriate coefficients A for continuous bounded cohomology are the dual of a separable Banach space on which G acts continuously and by linear isometries. For more information about this technical requirement see [16].

Let $H < G$ be a closed subgroup. There exists a section $s : G/H \to G$ of the natural projection map $p : G \to G/H$ that is Borel and locally totally bounded, i.e. that sends every compact subset of G/H to a precompact subset of G. The existence of Borel sections ensures that measurable cohomology has long exact sequences. Since furthermore $H^0(G, A) = A^G$ and $H^p(G, C(G, A)) = 0$ for $p > 0$ it follows by Buchsbaum's criterion (see [6]) that H_m^* is the unique effaceable cohomological functor on the category of Polish G-modules [20, Theorem 2]. Indeed, let $\iota : A \hookrightarrow C(G, A)$ be the embedding of the G-module A into $C(G, A)$ as the closed submodule of constant maps. Then the short exact sequence

$$0 \to A \hookrightarrow C(G, A) \twoheadrightarrow C(G, A)/\iota(A) \to 0$$

induces isomorphisms $H^p_m(G,A) \cong H^{p-1}_m(G, C(G,A)/\iota(A))$ for all $p > 0$. The connecting map $H^p_m(G,A) \to H^{p-1}_m(G, C(G,A)/\iota(A))$ is induced by the map $Q : C^p(G,A) \to C^{p-1}(G, C(G,A))$ given by

$$(Q\alpha)(g_0, \ldots, g_{p-1})(g) := (-1)^p \alpha(g_0, \ldots, g_{p-1}, g),$$

for $\alpha \in C^p(G,A)$ and $g, g_0, \ldots, g_{p-1} \in G$. If α is a cocycle it follows directly that $d(Q\alpha)(g_0, \ldots, g_p)$ is the constant map $g \mapsto \alpha(g_0, \ldots, g_p)$ and thus the image of $Q\alpha$ under the quotient map $C(G,A) \twoheadrightarrow C(G,A)/\iota(A)$ defines a class in $H^{p-1}_m(G, C(G,A)/\iota(A))$. Furthermore, it can be shown that this image only depends on the cohomology class of α so that Q indeed induces the connecting map. This allows for the technique of dimension shifting, that is we can rewrite a cohomology group as a cohomology group of lower degree (but with different coefficients). Then by induction on degree, some algebraic properties that clearly hold in lower degree may be shown to hold in higher degrees as well. We will use this technique in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Since in general there exists no continuous cross section $G/H \to G$ continuous cohomology has no long exact sequences when we allow all Polish G-modules as coefficients. However, when restricting to Fréchet modules there do exist continuous cross sections and continuous cohomology is the unique effaceable cohomological functor on this category. In [1] T. Austin and C.C. Moore prove that measurable cohomology is also effaceable when restricted to Fréchet modules. Hence for Fréchet coefficients A we have $H^*_m(G,A) \cong H^*_c(G,A)$ [1, Theorem A]. The crucial step in the proof is [1, Proposition 33] which states that for any cohomology class in $H^*_m(G,A)$ there exists a locally totally bounded representative. The main ingredients of its proof are dimension shifting and the fact that a locally totally bounded measurable cocycle $\bar{\alpha} : G^p \to C(G,A)/\iota(A)$ can be lifted to a locally totally bounded measurable map $\alpha : G^p \to C(G,A)$. That there exist such a lift folllows from the Borel selection theorem (see e.g. [10, section 423]) and [1, Lemma 32].

Let $H < G$ be a closed subgroup and let A be a Polish H-module. Furthermore, let $\mathrm{Ind}^G_H(A)$ be the Polish G-module consisting of all measurable maps $f : G \to A$ such that $f(gh) = h^{-1} \cdot f(g)$ for almost all pairs $(h,g) \in H \times G$ with the action of G given by:

$$(g \cdot f)(g') = f(g^{-1}g').$$

There holds an Eckmann-Shapiro lemma [20, Theorem 6]:

$$H^q_m(G, \mathrm{Ind}^G_H(A)) \cong H^q_m(H, A).$$

For $f \in C(G/H, A)$ define $\bar{f} \in \mathrm{Ind}^G_H(A)$ by

$$\bar{f}(g) = g^{-1} \cdot s(gH) \cdot f(gH).$$

This induces an isomorphism $C(G/H,A) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_H^G(A)$ [20, Proposition 17]. The action of G on $C(G/H,A)$ becomes $(g\cdot F)(g'H) = \lambda(g,g'H)\cdot F(g^{-1}g'H)$, where

$$\lambda(g,g'H) := s(g'H)^{-1}\cdot g\cdot s(g^{-1}g'H) \quad \in H.$$

If cochains in $H_m^q(G,\mathrm{Ind}_H^G(A))$ are continuous, as for example in degree 1 ([20, Theorem 3]), we can give explicit maps on the cochain level which induce the isomorphism of the Eckmann-Shapiro lemma. Define

$$u^n : C_c(G^{q+1},A)^H \to C_c(H^{q+1},A)^H$$

by

$$u^n(\sigma)(h_0,\ldots,h_q) = \sigma(h_0,\ldots,h_q),$$

for $h_0,\ldots,h_q \in H$. Note that this is well defined because σ is continuous in G^{q+1}. The map

$$v^n : C_c(G^{q+1},C(G/H,A))^G \to C_c(G^{q+1},A)^H$$

can be defined as follows: Let $\beta \in C_c(G^{q+1},C(G/H,A))$ and $g\in G$. Define $F_g(\beta)\in C_c(G^{q+1},A)$ by

$$F_g(\beta)(g_0,\ldots,g_q) := \beta(s(gH)g_0,\ldots,s(gH)g_q)(gH),$$

for $g_0,\ldots,g_q\in G$. Then if β is G-invariant, $F_g(\beta)$ is independent of g and gives an element in $C_c(G^{q+1},A)^H$. We define $v^n(\beta)$ to be this element and then we can define

$$\phi = u^n\circ v^n : C_c(G^{q+1},C(G/H,A))^G \to C_c(H^{q+1},A)^H.$$

Its inverse in cohomology is given by

$$\psi(\alpha)(g_0,\ldots,g_q)(gH) = \alpha(\lambda(g_0,gH),\ldots,\lambda(g_q,gH)),$$

where $\alpha\in C_c(H^{p+1},A)^H$ and $g,g_0,\ldots,g_q\in G$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let $(K^{p,q},d,\delta)$ be the first quadrant double complex defined by

$$K^{p,q} = C(G^{q+1},C^p)^G, \text{ for } p,q\geq 0,$$

where $C^p = C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1},\mathbb{R})$, the first differential $d: K^{p,q}\to K^{p,q+1}$ is the homogeneous coboundary operator and the second differential $\delta : K^{p,q}\to K^{p+1,q}$ is induced by the homogeneous coboundary operator $\delta : C^p\to C^{p+1}$. To such a complex one can associate two spectral sequences, ${}^{I}\mathrm{E}_r^{p,q}$ and ${}^{II}\mathrm{E}_r^{p,q}$, both converging to the cohomology of the total complex (see for example [4]). If $\alpha\in C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1},\mathbb{R})^G$ is a cocycle then $\alpha=\delta\beta$ with

$$\beta(x_0,\ldots,x_{p-1}) := \alpha(y,x_0,\ldots,x_{p-1}),$$

where $y\in\partial\mathbb{H}^n$ such that $\alpha(x_0,\ldots,x_p) = \sum_j(-1)^j\alpha(y,x_0,\ldots,\hat{x}_j,\ldots,x_p)$ for almost all $(x_0,\ldots,x_p)$. Thus (C^*,δ) is an acyclic cocomplex. Therefore ${}^{II}\mathrm{E}_r^{p,q}=0$ for all $r\geq 1, p\geq 1$ and $q\geq 0$ and ${}^{II}\mathrm{E}_r^{0,q} = C(G^{q+1},\mathbb{R})^G$ for all $r\geq 2$ and $q\geq 0$. Hence, on the one hand, ${}^{II}\mathrm{E}_r^{p,q}$ converges to $H_m^*(G,\mathbb{R})$

which is isomorphic to the continuous cohomology $H_c^*(G,\mathbb{R})$ of G. On the other hand, we establish in Proposition 3.1 that ${}^{I}\mathrm{E}_r^{p,q}$ converges to the right hand side of the isomorphism of the theorem:

Proposition 3.1. *The spectral sequence* ${}^{I}\mathrm{E}_r^{p,q}$ *converges to*

$$\frac{\ker(\delta:(C^p)^G\to(C^{p+1})^G)}{\operatorname{im}(\delta:(C^{p-1})^G\to(C^p)^G)}.$$

Proof. The first page ${}^{I}\mathrm{E}_1^{p,q}$ of this spectral sequence is $H_d(K^{p,q})=H_m^q(G,C^p)$. Let $G_\infty=(\mathbb{R}_{>0}\times\mathrm{SO}(n-1))\ltimes\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, G_{\infty,0}=\mathbb{R}_{>0}\times\mathrm{SO}(n-1)$ and $G_{\infty,0,1}=\mathrm{SO}(n-2)$ be the stabilizers of respectively $\{\infty\},\{\infty,0\}$ and $\{\infty,0,1\}$, where these are viewed as points in the upper half space model of $\mathbb{H}^n$. Note that $G/G_\infty\cong\partial\mathbb{H}^n$, and furthermore $G/G_{\infty,0}\hookrightarrow\partial\mathbb{H}^n\times\partial\mathbb{H}^n$ and $G/G_{\infty,0,1}\hookrightarrow\partial\mathbb{H}^n\times\partial\mathbb{H}^n\times\partial\mathbb{H}^n$ as conull sets. By the Eckmann-Shapiro Lemma it directly follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
H_m^q(G,C^0) &\cong H_m^q(G_\infty,\mathbb{R}),\\
H_m^q(G,C^1) &\cong H_m^q(G_{\infty,0},\mathbb{R}),\\
H_m^q(G,C^2) &\cong H_m^q(G_{\infty,0,1},\mathbb{R}),\ \text{and}\\
H_m^q(G,C^p) &\cong H_m^q(G_{\infty,0,1},C^{p-3}),\ \text{for } p>2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since for $\mathbb{R}$-coefficients measurable and continuous cohomology coincide it follows that ${}^{I}\mathrm{E}_1^{0,q}\cong H_c^q(G_\infty,\mathbb{R})$. By the van Est isomorphism for any connected Lie group G we have $H_c^*(G,\mathbb{R})\cong H^*(\Omega(G/K)^G)$, where K is the maximal compact subgroup of G and where $\Omega^q(G/K)^G$ denotes the set of G-invariant real differential q-forms on G/K (see for example [13] section III.7). Thus, since the maximal compact subgroup of G_∞ is $\mathrm{SO}(n-1)$, it can be computed using the complex of multi-linear alternating $\mathrm{SO}(n-1)$-invariant maps $(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1})^q\to\mathbb{R}$, where $\mathrm{SO}(n-1)$ acts on the $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ factor. Let $T:\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be the projection onto the first factor and let $\det:(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1})^{n-1}\to\mathbb{R}$ be the determinant defined on the second factor. Then, up to scalar multiplication, the only nonzero alternating forms are constant maps in degree 0, the form T in degree 1, the determinant det in degree $n-1$ and $T\wedge\det$ in degree n. A straightforward calculation gives $d(\det)=(1-n)\cdot T\wedge\det$ and therefore

$$H_c^q(G_\infty,\mathbb{R})\cong\begin{cases}\mathbb{R}, & \text{if } q=0,1;\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Furthermore, the maximal compact of $G_{\infty,0}$ is also $\mathrm{SO}(n-1)$ and thus

$${}^{I}\mathrm{E}_1^{1,q}\cong H^q\left(\Omega^*((\mathbb{R}_{>0}))^{\mathrm{SO}(n-1)}\right)\cong\begin{cases}\mathbb{R}, & \text{if } q=0,1;\\ 0, & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

and, since $\mathrm{SO}(n-2)$ is compact,

$$ {}^{I}\mathrm{E}_1^{2,q} \cong H^q(\mathrm{SO}(n-2),\mathbb{R}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}, & \text{if q=0;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} $$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
q & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\
0 \arrow[u, dash] \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & H^2_m(G,C^3) \arrow[r] & H^2_m(G,C^4) \arrow[r] & \ldots & \\
\mathbb{R} \arrow[u, dash] \arrow[r, "d_1"] & \mathbb{R} \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & H^1_m(G,C^3) \arrow[r] & H^1_m(G,C^4) \arrow[r] & \ldots & \\
\mathbb{R} \arrow[u, dash] \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R} \arrow[r] & (C^3)^G \arrow[r] & (C^4)^G \arrow[r] & \ldots & p
\end{tikzcd}$$

We finish the proof of Proposition 3.1 using the two following propositions which we will prove in the next two sections.

Proposition 3.2. *The map* $d_1 : {}^{I}\mathrm{E}_1{}^{0,1} \to {}^{I}\mathrm{E}_1^{1,1}$ *is an isomorphism.*

Proposition 3.3. ${}^{I}\mathrm{E}_2^{p,q} = 0$ *for* $p > 2$ *and* $q > 0$.

It then follows that the spectral sequence degenerates at the second page, that is

$$ {}^{I}\mathrm{E}_2^{p,q} = \begin{cases} \frac{\ker\left(\delta:(C^p)^G \to (C^{p+1})^G\right)}{\mathrm{im}(\delta:(C^{p-1})^G \to (C^p)^G)} & \text{if } q=0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} $$

which proves Proposition 3.1. □

Hence $H_c^p(G,\mathbb{R})$ can be computed as the cohomology of the cocomplex $(C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1},\mathbb{R})^G,\delta)$ which proves the first part of Theorem 1.1. In Proposition 3.4 below we prove in particular that all G-invariant cocycles $\alpha : (\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ have a locally totally bounded representative. That is, a representative that sends compact subsets of $(\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1}$ to precompact subsets of $\mathbb{R}$. Since $(\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1}$ is itself compact it follows that such a representative is essentially bounded, i.e. bounded almost everywhere. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 3.4. *Let* A *be a Polish Abelian* G*-module. Any* G*-invariant cocycle* $\alpha : (\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1} \to A$ *has a locally totally bounded representative. That is, there exists a* G*-invariant cochain* $\sigma : (\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^p \to A$ *such that* $\kappa = \alpha + \delta\sigma$ *is a locally totally bounded cocycle.*

Proof. This follows by a dimension-shifting argument. For $p = 0$ cocycles correspond to constants in A and are thus bounded. Suppose now that $p > 0$ and define $Q : C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1}, A)^G \to C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^p, C(G, A))^G$ by

$$Q\alpha(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})(g) = (-1)^p \alpha(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1}, gG_\infty).$$

Then

$$\delta(Q\alpha)(x_0, \ldots, x_p)(g) = \alpha(x_0, \ldots, x_p),$$

is independent of g. Let $\iota(A)$ be the image of the embedding of A into $C(G, A)$ as the closed submodule of constant maps which we identify with A. The image $\overline{Q\alpha}$ of $Q\alpha$ in $C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^p, C(G, A)/\iota(A))^G$ defines a cocycle. By the induction hypothesis it has a representative that is locally totally bounded, i.e.

$$\overline{Q\alpha} = \overline{\beta} + \delta\overline{\gamma},$$

with $\overline{\beta} : (\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^p \to C(G, A)/\iota(A)$ a G-invariant locally totally bounded cocycle and $\overline{\gamma} : (\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p-1} \to C(G, A)/\iota(A)$ a G-invariant cochain. There exists G-invariant measurable lifts β, γ of $\overline{\beta}, \overline{\gamma}$ such that β is still locally totally bounded (but no longer a cocycle). Thus

$$Q\alpha = \beta + \delta\gamma + \sigma,$$

with $\sigma : (\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^p \to A$ a G-invariant measurable cochain. We have $\delta Q\alpha = \alpha$ and thus

$$\alpha = \delta\beta + \delta\sigma.$$

It follows that $\delta\beta$ takes its values in $i(A) \subset C(G, A)$ and therefore that $\delta\beta : (\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1} \to A$ is a cocycle. Furthermore, for any compact $L \subset \partial\mathbb{H}^n$:

$$\delta\beta(L^{p+1}) \subset \beta(L^p) - \beta(L^p) + \cdots + (-1)^p \beta(L^p),$$

and thus $\delta\beta(L^{p+1})$ is precompact as a subset of $A \subset C(G, A)$. Hence $\kappa := \delta\beta$ is a locally totally bounded representative of α. □

3.1. **Proof of Proposition 3.2**

Recall that $G_\infty = (\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathrm{SO}(n-1)) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $G_{\infty,0} = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathrm{SO}(n-1)$. Let $j^* : H^1_m(G, C(G/G_\infty, \mathbb{R})) \to H^1_m(G, C(G/G_{\infty,0}, \mathbb{R}))$ be the map induced by the natural surjection $G/G_{0,\infty} \twoheadrightarrow G/G_\infty$. By abuse of notation we will denote the map $H^1_m(G, C(G/G_\infty, \mathbb{R})) \to H^1_m(G, C(G/G_{\infty,0}, \mathbb{R}))$ induced by the differential $d_1 : H^1_m(G, C^0) \to H^1_m(G, C^1)$ also by d_1. We will prove

Proposition 3.5. *The map*

$$d_1 : H^1_m(G, C(G/G_\infty, \mathbb{R})) \to H^1_m(G, C(G/G_{\infty,0}, \mathbb{R}))$$

is equal to $2j^*$.

This proves Proposition 3.2 since it implies in particular that d_1 is an isomorphism. Indeed, measurable cohomology and continuous cohomology agree in degree 1 and thus

$$H^1_m(G_\infty, \mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{Hom}(G_\infty, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$$

and a generator is given by the homomorphism $f_1 : G_\infty \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f_1(kA + v) = \ln(k),$$

where $kA + v \in G_\infty = (\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathrm{SO}(n-1)) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Furthermore,

$$H^1_m(G_{\infty,0}, \mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{Hom}(G_{\infty,0}, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R},$$

and a generator is given by the homomorphism $f_2 : G_{\infty,0} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f_2(kA) = \ln(k),$$

for $kA \in G_{\infty,0} = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathrm{SO}(n-1)$. Under the Eckmann-Shapiro Lemma j^* corresponds to the map $i^* : H^1_m(G_\infty, \mathbb{R}) \to H^1_m(G_{\infty,0}, \mathbb{R})$ induced by the natural inclusion $i : G_{\infty,0} \hookrightarrow G_\infty$. This is an isomorphism as it sends f_1 to f_2.

Let $J \in \mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^n)$ be a rotation by π centered on a point on the geodesic between 0 and ∞ so that $J(0) = \infty$, $J(\infty) = 0$ and $J^{-1} = J$. For an explicit formula of such a rotation see below. Let

$$J^* : H^1_m(G, C(G/G_{\infty,0}, \mathbb{R})) \to H^1_m(G, C(G/G_{\infty,0}, \mathbb{R}))$$

be the isomorphism defined on cochains by

$$J^*(\alpha)(g_0, g_1)(gG_{\infty,0}) = \alpha(g_0, g_1)(gJG_{\infty,0})$$

for $\alpha \in C(G^2, C(G/G_{\infty,0}, \mathbb{R}))^G$ and $g_0, g_1, g \in G$.

Let $\psi_\infty : C(G^2, C(G/G_\infty, \mathbb{R}))^G \to C(G^2, C(\partial\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{R}))^G$ be the isomorphism defined by

$$\psi_\infty(\beta)(g_0, g_1)(x) = \beta(g_0, g_1)(gG_\infty),$$

for $\beta \in C(G^2, C(G/G_\infty, \mathbb{R}))^G$, $g_0, g_1 \in G$ and $x \in \partial\mathbb{H}^n$ and with $g \in G$ such that $g \cdot \infty = x$. Furthermore, let $\psi_{\infty,0} : C(G^2, C(\partial\mathbb{H}^n \times \partial\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{R}))^G \to C(G^2, C(G/G_{\infty,0}, \mathbb{R}))^G$ be the isomorphism defined by

$$\psi_{\infty,0}(\alpha)(g_0, g_1)(gG_{\infty,0}) = \alpha(g_0, g_1)(g \cdot 0, g \cdot \infty)$$

for $\alpha \in C(G^2, C(\partial\mathbb{H}^n \times \partial\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{R}))^G$ and $g_0, g_1, g \in G$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C(G^2, C(G/G_\infty, \mathbb{R}))^G \arrow[r, "d_1"] \arrow[d, "\psi_\infty"] & C(G^2, C(G/G_{\infty,0}, \mathbb{R}))^G \\
C(G^2, C^0)^G \arrow[r, "\delta"] & C(G^2, C^1)^G \arrow[u, "\psi_{\infty,0}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Lemma 3.6. *$d_1 = j^* - J^* \circ j^*$.*

Proof. By definition the differential operator $d_1 : H^1_m(G, C^0) \to H^1_m(G, C^1)$ is induced by $\delta : C^0 \to C^1$, i.e. for $[\sigma] \in H^1_m(G, C^0), g \in G$ and $x_0, x_1 \in \partial\mathbb{H}^n$

$$d_1[\sigma] = [\delta \circ \sigma],$$

where

$$(\delta \circ \sigma)(g_0, g_1)(x_0, x_1) = \sigma(g_0, g_1)(x_1) - \sigma(g_0, g_1)(x_0).$$

For $\sigma \in C(G^2, C(G/G_\infty, \mathbb{R}_{>0}))$ and $g_0, g_1, g \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\infty,0} \circ \delta \circ \psi_\infty(\sigma)(g_0, g_1)(gG_{\infty,0}) &= \sigma(g_0, g_1)(gG_\infty) - \sigma(g_0, g_1)(g \cdot JG_\infty) \\ &= j^*(\sigma)(g_0, g_1)(gG_{\infty,0}) \\ &\quad - J^* \circ j^*(\sigma)(g_0, g_1)(gG_{\infty,0}), \end{aligned}$$

and it thus follows that $d_1 = j^* - J^* \circ j^*$. ☐

Since continuous cohomology and measurable cohomology coincide in degree 1 we can and will from now on work with continuous cochains. For such cochains an isomorphism $\varphi : C_c(G^2_{\infty,0}, \mathbb{R})^{G_{\infty,0}} \to C_c(G_{\infty,0}, \mathbb{R})$ between the homogeneous and inhomogeneous resolution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\sigma)(g_0, g_1) &= g_0 \cdot \sigma(g_0^{-1} g_1), \text{ with inverse} \\ \varphi^{-1}(\beta)(g) &= \beta(e, g). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.7. *J^* acts as -1 on $H^1_m(G_{\infty,0}, \mathbb{R}_{>0})$.*

Proof. Let $s : G/G_{\infty,0} \to G$ be a Borel section such that $s(G_{\infty,0}) = e$. Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}(G_{\infty,0}, \mathbb{R}_{>0})$ be a cocycle, $h_1 \in G_{\infty,0}$, and $g \in G$. Let ϕ and ψ be the maps defined at the end of section 2. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi \circ \phi \circ J^* \circ \psi \circ \varphi^{-1}(\alpha)(h_1) \\ &= \alpha(\lambda(s(gG_{\infty,0}), gJG_{\infty,0})^{-1} \cdot \lambda(s(gG_{\infty,0})h_1, gJG_{\infty,0})) \\ &= \alpha(s(s(gG_{\infty,0})^{-1} gJG_{\infty,0})^{-1} \cdot h_1 \cdot s(h_1^{-1} s(gG_{\infty,0})^{-1} gJG_{\infty,0})) \\ &= \alpha(s(JG_{\infty,0})^{-1} \cdot h_1 \cdot s(JG_{\infty,0})) \\ &= \alpha(h_2^{-1} J^{-1} h_1 J h_2) \\ &= \alpha(J h_1 J), \end{aligned}$$

where $h_2 = Js(JG_{\infty,0}) \in G_{\infty,0}$ and we use that $s(hJG_{\infty,0}) = s(JG_{\infty,0})$ for all $h \in G_{\infty,0}$. Thus J^* acts by conjugation on $H^1_m(G_{\infty,0}, \mathbb{R}_{>0})$. In the upper half space model a possible formula for J is

$$J : (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto \frac{1}{|x|^2}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}, -x_{n-1}, x_n),$$

for $x=(x_1,\dots,x_n)\in\overline{\mathbb{H}^n}\setminus\{\infty\}$. Let $g\in G_{\infty,0}$, say $g=kA$ with $k>0$ and $A\in\mathrm{SO}(n-1)$ and let $x\in\overline{\mathbb{H}^n}\setminus\{\infty\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} JgJ(x) &= J\cdot\frac{k}{|x|^2}A\cdot(x_1,\dots,x_{n-2},-x_{n-1},x_n)\\ &= \frac{1}{\left|\frac{k}{|x|^2}\cdot|x|^2\right|^2}\cdot\frac{k}{|x|^2}rAr(x)\\ &= \frac{1}{k}rAr(x), \end{aligned}$$

where r is the reflection in the hyperplane orthogonal to the $(n-1)$th coordinate axis. Hence $f_2(JgJ)=-f_2(g)$. □

3.2. **Vanishing of ${}^I\mathrm{E}_2^{p,q}$ for $p>2$ and $q>0$**

In this section we give the proof of Proposition 3.3. For a Polish Abelian G-module A denote by $K^{p,q}(A)$ the G-module $C(G^{q+1},C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1},A))^G$. We will identify it with the G-module of G-invariant measurable maps $G^{q+1}\times(\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1}\to A$.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $[[\alpha]_d]_\delta\in H_\delta H_d(K^{p,q}(A))$. Then there exists a locally totally bounded representative κ of $[[\alpha]_d]_\delta$. That is, there exist G-invariant measurable maps $\sigma: G^{q+1}\times(\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^p\to A$ and $\lambda: G^q\times(\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1}\to A$ such that*

$$\kappa=\alpha+\delta\sigma+d\lambda: G^{q+1}\times(\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1}\to A$$

is locally totally bounded.

Proof. We will prove Proposition 3.8 by induction on q. Suppose $q=0$. Then $d\alpha=0$ implies that the cocycle $\alpha: G\to C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1},\mathbb{R})$ is a constant function into $C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1},A)^G$. We identify α with this element of $C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1},A)^G$ and the statement in Proposition 3.8 follows from Proposition 3.4.

Suppose now that $q>0$. Let $\alpha\in C(G^{q+1},C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1},A))^G$ be such that $d\alpha=0$ and $\delta\alpha=d\gamma$, where $\gamma: G^q\times(\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1}\to A$. Define $Q\alpha\in C(G^q,C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1},C(G,A)))^G$ by

$$Q\alpha(g_0,\dots,g_{q-1})(x_0,\dots,x_p)(g):=(-1)^q\alpha(g_0,\dots,g_{q-1},g)(x_0,\dots,x_p).$$

Then

$$dQ\alpha(g_0,\dots,g_q)(x_0,\dots,x_p)=\alpha(g_0,\dots,g_q)(x_0,\dots,x_p),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Q\alpha(g_0,\dots,g_{q-1})(x_0,\dots,x_{p+1})(g) &= (-1)^q\delta\alpha(g_0,\dots,g_{q-1},g)(x_0,\dots,x_{p+1})\\ &= (-1)^q d\gamma(g_0,\dots,g_{q-1},g)(x_0,\dots,x_{p+1})\\ &= (-1)^q\gamma(g_0,\dots,g_{q-1})(x_0,\dots,x_{p+1})\\ &\quad (-1)^q dQ\gamma(g_0,\dots,g_{q-1})(x_0,\dots,x_{p+1})(g). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\overline{Q\alpha} \in C(G^q, C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1}, C(G,A)/\iota(A)))^G$ satisfies the conditions of the proposition and so by the induction hypothesis

$$\overline{Q\alpha} = \bar{\beta} + \delta\bar{\mu} + d\bar{\nu},$$

where $\bar{\beta}$ is a locally totally bounded cocycle. Then, as in the proof for $q = 0$, there exist G-invariant measurable lifts β, μ and ν of these maps such that

$$Q\alpha = \beta + \delta\mu + d\nu + \eta,$$

with $\eta : G^q \times (\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1} \to \iota(A)$ and such that β is still locally totally bounded. We obtain

$$\alpha = d\beta + d\delta\mu + d\eta.$$

Note that since the left-hand side takes values in $\iota(A)$ the right-hand side does as well. Hence, since $d\beta$ and $d\eta$ both take values in $\iota(A)$, $d\delta\mu$ can be identified with a coboundary in $C(G^{q+1}, C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1}, A))^G$. It follows that $\kappa := d\beta$ is a locally totally bounded representative of the class of α in $H_\delta H_d(K^{p,q}(A))$. □

Let $K_c^{p,q}(A)$ be the G-module $C_c(G^{q+1}, C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1}, A))^G$ where A is from now on a Fréchet G-module and let $K_c^{p,q} = K_c^{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

Proposition 3.9. *$H_\delta H_d(K_c^{p,q}(A)) \cong H_\delta H_d(K^{p,q}(A))$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.8 any cocycle $[[\alpha]_d]_\delta \in H_\delta H_d(K^{p,q}(A))$ has a locally totally bounded representative κ. Then, as in the proof of T. Austin and C.C. Moore of the isomorphism between continuous and measurable cohomology for Fréchet coefficients, such a cocycle is effaced by the inclusion $A \hookrightarrow C_c(G, A)$ and the result follows by Buchsbaum's criterion. More precisely, there exists an $\eta : G^p \times (\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{q+1} \to C_c(G, A)$ s.t. $d\eta = \kappa$ where κ is viewed as a map $G^{p+1} \times (\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{q+1} \to C_c(G, A)$ taking values in $i(A) \subset C_c(G, A)$. For example, we can define η by

$$\begin{aligned}&\eta(g_0, \ldots, g_{p-1})(x_0, \ldots, x_q)(g) := \\ &(-1)^p \int_G \kappa(g_0, \ldots, g_{p-1}, gh)(x_0, \ldots, x_q)\xi(h)d\mu_G(h),\end{aligned}$$

where $g_0, \ldots, g_{p-1}, g \in G$, $x_0, \ldots, x_q \in \partial\mathbb{H}^n$ and $\xi : G \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is a compactly-supported continuous function with $\int_G \xi d\mu_G = 1$. Since furthermore

$$H_\delta H_d(K^{p,0}(A)) = H_\delta H_d(K_c^{p,0}(A)) = H_\delta((C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1}, A))^G)$$

and there exist long exact sequences in the case of Fréchet modules for both, Buchsbaum's criterion applies. □

Let $K = \mathrm{SO}(n-2)$ and let $s : G/K \to G$ be a locally totally bounded Borel section such that $s(K) = e$. By the Eckmann-Shapiro Lemma we have $H_m^q(G, C(G/K, C^{p-3})) \cong H_m^q(K, C^{p-3})$. From Proposition 3.9 it follows that if we restrict to cocycles in $H_\delta H_d(K^{p,q})$ we can assume them to be continuous in G^{q+1}. As shown at the end of section 2 we then get an explicit map $\phi : C_c(G^{q+1}, C(G/K, C^{p-3}))^G \to C_c(K^{q+1}, C^{p-3})^K$ that induces the isomorphism

$$H_\delta H_d(C(G^{q+1}, C(G/K, C^{p-3}))^G) \cong H_\delta H_d(C(K^{q+1}, C^{p-3})^K).$$

Proof of Proposition 3.3. Let $[[\alpha]_d]_\delta \in H_\delta H_d(K_c^{p,q}) = E_2^{p,q}$. We will show that in this case α is cohomologeous in $H_\delta H_d(K_c^{p,q})$ to a coboundary in $H_d(K^{p,q})$. By Proposition 3.8, α has a locally totally bounded representative $\beta : G^{q+1} \times (\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p+1} \to \mathbb{R}$. Then $\phi(\beta) : K^{q+1} \times (\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p-2} \to \mathbb{R}$ is also a locally totally bounded cocyle and furthermore we have $\phi(\beta) = d\eta$, where $\eta : K^q \times (\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^{p-2} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}&\eta(k_0, \ldots, k_{q-1})(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-3}) := \\ &(-1)^q \int_K \phi(\beta)(k_0, \ldots, k_{q-1}, k)(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-3}) d\mu_K(k).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that ${}^I\mathrm{E}_2^{p,q} = 0$ for $p > 2$ and $q > 0$. □

4. Injectivity of the comparison map in degree 3

Corollary 1.2, i.e. injectivity of the comparison map for real hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n$ in degree 3, is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1. By this theorem, we have

$$H_c^3(G, \mathbb{R}) = \frac{\ker(\delta : C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^4, \mathbb{R})^G \to C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^5, \mathbb{R})^G)}{\operatorname{im}(\delta : C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^3, \mathbb{R})^G \to C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^4, \mathbb{R})^G)}.$$

Furthermore, the continuous bounded cohomology of G can also be calculated with maps that are defined on the boundary of hyperbolic space [16, Theorem 7.5.3]. That is,

$$H_{c,b}^3(G, \mathbb{R}) = \frac{\ker(\delta : L^\infty((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^4, \mathbb{R})^G \to L^\infty((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^5, \mathbb{R})^G)}{\operatorname{im}(\delta : L^\infty((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^3, \mathbb{R})^G \to L^\infty((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^4, \mathbb{R})^G)},$$

where $L^\infty((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^p, \mathbb{R}) \subset C((\partial\mathbb{H}^n)^p, \mathbb{R})$ consists of essentially bounded measurable function classes. By 3-transitivity of the action of G on the boundary of hyperbolic space it then follows that cochains in degree 2 are constant. Since in even degree applying δ to a constant gives zero there are no coboundaries in degree 3. Hence $H_c^3(G, \mathbb{R})$ and $H_{c,b}^3(G, \mathbb{R})$ are equal to the corresponding spaces of cocycles and it follows that the comparison map is injective, given as the natural inclusion of cocycles.

Injectivity in degree 3 for $\mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^n)$ also follows from a simpler argument which only uses some basic properties of hyperbolic space and the injectivity in degree 3 for $n = 3$. Denote by $\mathbb{R}_\epsilon$ the $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$-module $\mathbb{R}$ with $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$-action given by the homomorphism

$$\epsilon : \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n) \to \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)/\mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^n) \cong \{1, -1\}.$$

We have

$$H^*_{c,(b)}(\mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^n),\mathbb{R}) \cong H^*_{c,(b)}(\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n),\mathbb{R}) \oplus H^*_{c,(b)}(\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n),\mathbb{R}_\epsilon).$$

Also, $H^3_{c,(b)}(\mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^3),\mathbb{R})$ is generated by the volume cocycle which is equivariant, i.e. in $H^3_{c,(b)}(\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^3),\mathbb{R}_\epsilon)$ and thus $H^3_{c,(b)}(\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^3),\mathbb{R}) = 0$. On the other hand, for $n > 3$ we have $H^3_{c,(b)}(\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n),\mathbb{R}_\epsilon) = 0$ (see [5]). Hence $H^3_{c,(b)}(\mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^n),\mathbb{R}) = H^3_{c,(b)}(\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n),\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $n > 3$. Then $H^3_{c,b}(Isom(\mathbb{H}^n),\mathbb{R}) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $[\beta] \in H^3_{c,b}(\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n),\mathbb{R})$. Let $i : \mathbb{H}^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$ be a natural embedding. We will identify the image $i(\mathbb{H}^3) \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ with $\mathbb{H}^3$. Since β restricted to $\mathbb{H}^3$ is a cocycle in $C_{c,b}((\mathbb{H})^3,\mathbb{R})^{\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^3)}$ there is an $\alpha \in C_{c,b}((\mathbb{H}^3)^3,\mathbb{R})^{\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^3)}$ such that $\delta\alpha = \beta|_{\mathbb{H}^3}$. Let $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{H}^n$. These points lie in a 2-dimensional hyperplane $H(x_0,x_1,x_2)$ and since $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ acts transitively on such hyperplanes there always exists a $g \in \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ such that $g(H(x_0,x_1,x_2)) \subset \mathbb{H}^3$. Define $\bar\alpha : (\mathbb{H}^n)^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\bar\alpha(x_0,x_1,x_2) = \alpha(gx_0,gx_1,gx_2),$$

where $g \in \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ is such that $g(H(x_0,x_1,x_2)) \subset \mathbb{H}^3$. One checks easily that this is well defined, $\bar\alpha \in C_{c,b}((\mathbb{H}^n)^3,\mathbb{R})^{\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)}$ and $\delta\bar\alpha = \beta$. It follows that $H^3_{c,b}(\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n),\mathbb{R}) = 0$. □

The proof above only uses the following two facts:

1. An isometry of $\mathbb{H}^n$ can be extended to an isometry of $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$.
2. If $x_0,\dots,x_k \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ where $k+1 \le n$ then there exists a $g \in \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^{n+1})$ such that $gH(x_0,\dots,x_k) \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ where $H(x_0,\dots,x_k)$ denotes the linear subspace spanned by the points $x_0,\dots,x_k$.

These also hold in complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$. Hence we immediately obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $k \le n$ and suppose that $H^k_{c,b}(Isom(\mathbb{H}^n_{(\mathbb{C})}),\mathbb{R}) = 0$. Then $H^k_{c,b}(Isom(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_{(\mathbb{C})}),\mathbb{R}) = 0$.*

Theorem 1.3 is proved similarly. On cochains define

$$j : C((\mathbb{H}^n_{(\mathbb{C})})^{k+1},\mathbb{R})^{\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n_{(\mathbb{C})})} \to C((\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_{(\mathbb{C})})^{k+1},\mathbb{R})^{\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_{(\mathbb{C})})}$$

by

$$j(\beta)(x_0,\dots,x_k) := \beta(gx_0,\dots,gx_k),$$

where $g \in \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n_{(\mathbb{C})})$ is such that $g(H(x_0,\dots,x_k)) \subset i(\mathbb{H}^n_{(\mathbb{C})})$. Then

$$\delta(j(\beta))(x_0,\dots,x_{k+1}) = \delta\beta(g'x_0,\dots,g'x_{k+1}),$$

with $g' \in \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_{(\mathbb{C})})$ such that $g'(H(x_0,\ldots,x_{k+1})) \subset \mathbb{H}^n_{(\mathbb{C})}$. Note that such a g' exists since $k+1 \leq n$. It follows that if β is a cocycle then $j(\beta)$ is as well. Let furthermore

$$r : C((\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_{(\mathbb{C})})^{k+1}, \mathbb{R})^{\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_{(\mathbb{C})})} \to C((\mathbb{H}^{n}_{(\mathbb{C})})^{k+1}, \mathbb{R})^{\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^{n}_{(\mathbb{C})})}$$

be the map defined by restricting a k-cochain to $(\mathbb{H}^n_{(\mathbb{C})})^{k+1}$. Then for a cocycle β in degree k

$$\begin{aligned} r \circ j(\beta)(x_0,\ldots,x_k) &= j(\beta)(x_0,\ldots,x_k) \\ &= \beta(x_0,\ldots,x_k), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_0,\ldots,x_k \in \mathbb{H}^n_{(\mathbb{C})}$. It follows that j induces an injective map on cohomology.

References

[1] T. Austin, C.C. Moore, *Continuity Properties of Measurable Group Cohomology*, Math. Ann. 356, no. 3, (2013) pp. 885-937.

[2] S.J. Bloch, *Higher Regulators, Algebraic K-Theory, and Zeta Functions of Elliptic Curves*, CRM Monograph Series, vol. 11, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2000).

[3] A. Borel, N. Wallach *Continuous Cohomology, Discrete Subgroups, and Representations of Reductive Groups*, volume 67 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition (2000).

[4] R. Bott, L. W. Tu, *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 82, Springer-Verlag, New York (1982).

[5] M. Bucher, M. Burger, A. Iozzi, *A dual interpretation of the Gromov-Thurston proof of Mostow rigidity and volume rigidity for representations of hyperbolic lattices*, Trends in harmonic analysis, Springer INdAM Ser., vol.3, Springer, Milan (2013), pp. 47-76.

[6] D. Buchsbaum. *Satellites and universal functors*, The Annals of Mathematics, 2nd Ser., Vol. 71, No. 2 pp. 199-209 (1960).

[7] M. Burger, N. Monod, *Bounded cohomology of lattices in higher rank Lie groups*, J. Eur. Math Soc. Vol 1, no. 2 (1999), pp. 199-235.

[8] M. Burger, N. Monod, *On and around the bounded cohomology of* SL_2, Rigidity in dynamics and geometry (Cambridge, 2000), Springer, Berlin, pp. 19-37 (2002).

[9] J. L. Dupont, *Bounds for characteristic numbers of flat bundles*, Algebraic topology, Aarhus 1978 (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Aarhus, Aarhus, 1978), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 763, Springer, Berlin (1979), pp. 10917119.

[10] D. H. Fremlin, *Measure Theory, Volume 4: Topological Measure Theory,* Torres Fremlin, Colchester (2005).

[11] A.B. Goncharov, *Explicit Construction of Characteristic Classes*, Advances in Soviet Mathematics, Volume 16, Part 1 (1993).

[12] A.B. Goncharov, *Geometry of configurations, polylogarithms, and motivic cohomology*, Adv. Math. 114, no.2. (1995), pp. 197-318.

[13] A. Guichardet, *Cohomologie des groupes topologiques et des algèbres de Lie,* Textes Mathématiques [Mathematical Texts], vol. 2, CEDIC, Paris, (1980).

[14] K. Hofmann, S. Morris, *Open Mapping Theorem for Topological Groups*, Topology Proceedings Vol. 31, no. 2 (2007), pp. 533-551.

[15] T. Hartnick, A. Ott *Bounded cohomology via partial differential equations, I*, preprint, arXiv:1310.4806 (2013).

[16] N. Monod, *Continuous bounded cohomology of locally compact groups*, volume 1758 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2001).

[17] N. Monod *An invitation to bounded cohomology*, International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, pp. 1183171211 (2006).

[18] N. Monod, *Stabilization for* $\mathrm{SL_n}$ *in bounded cohomology*, Discrete geometric analysis, Contemp. Math., vol. 347, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2004), pp. 19117202.

[19] C. C. Moore, *Extensions and low dimensional cohomology theory of locally compact groups. I, II,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 113 (1964), pp. 40-63.

[20] C.C. Moore, *Group Extensions and Cohomology for Locally Compact Groups. III,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 221, No. 1 (1976), pp. 1-33.

[21] C.C. Moore *Group Extensions and Cohomology for Locally Compact Groups. IV,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 221, No. 1 (1976), pp. 35-58.

[22] G. Warner, *Harmonic Analysis on Semi-Simple Lie Groups*, Springer (1972).

Section de Mathématiques Université de Genève, 2-4 rue du Lièvre, Case postale 64, 1211 Genève 4, Suisse,

E-mail address: `hester.pieters@unige.ch`